Ru



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/131,051	08/07/1998	DWIGHT D. JAMIESON	NTL-3.2.035/	7277
26345	7590 12/03/2002			
GIBBONS, DEL DEO, DOLAN, GRIFFINGER & VECCHIONE			EXAMINER	
1 RIVERFRO NEWARK, N	NT PLAZA J 07102-5497		KUPSTAS, TOD A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			0.50	

DATE MAILED: 12/03/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			- /	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
j	Advisory Action	09/131,051	JAMIESON ET AL.	
	,,	Examiner	Art Unit	·
		Tod Kupstas	2153	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
There final r condi	REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF efore, further action by the applicant is required to a rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 tion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe- tion (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	<ul> <li>a timely filed amendment whi</li> </ul>	cation. A proper report of the places the applications are the properties.	cation in
	PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) [				
b) [ E)	event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The da	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date or FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S 136(a) and the appropriate	See MPEP
37 CFF b) abo	een filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 3.1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened we, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	statutory period for reply originally set in	the final Office action; or	(2) as set forth in
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2.🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a	) $oxed{oxed}$ they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	(see NOTE below);	
	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b		,,	
(c	they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the
(d	) $\square$ they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.
	NOTE: The addition of new claims 19-22 would i	equire further search and consider	ration.	
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	d amendment
5.🔯	The a) $\square$ affidavit, b) $\square$ exhibit, or c) $\boxtimes$ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See	r reconsideration has been cons e Continuation Sheet.	sidered but does NC	OT place the
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.			•
7.🛛	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a) $oxtime$ will not be entered or bould be rejected is provided belo	) will be entered ow or appended.	and an
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
	Claim(s) allowed:			
	Claim(s) objected to:			
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4, 7-10, and 15-18</u> .			
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8.	The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	proved by the Exemp	riner.
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen		- X	, _
0.	Other:		TUM 1 -	
		H	GLENHON B. BL	IRGESS

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

,

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The final rejection is still deemed applicable. Furthermore, applicant's argument regarding the withdrawl of the final rejection is not valid. Applicant cannot amend withdrawn claims.